





# Hydrogen sulfide toxic, but manageable

2 March 2014 **By Claude E. Boyd, Ph.D.**

## Use conservative feeding, aeration and liming to mitigate impacts



Hydrogen sulfide in sediment, which mainly results from sulfate reduction by microorganisms, can diffuse into overlying water and enter the water column.

Sulfur is an essential element for plants, animals and bacteria. It is present in natural waters and water of aquaculture systems, mainly as the sulfate ion. In humid regions, sulfate concentrations in water usually are 5 to 50 mg/L, but in arid regions, concentrations often exceed 100 mg/L. Seawater contains 2,700 mg/L of sulfate, on average. Although sulfate is rarely applied to aquaculture systems specifically for increasing ambient concentrations, it is present in feed and a few water quality amendments.

## Issues in aquaculture

The main sulfur-related issue in aquaculture is the occasional presence of toxic concentrations of hydrogen sulfide. Sulfide can occur in water because it is a metabolite of *Desulfovibrio* and certain other bacteria found in anaerobic zones – usually in sediment. These bacteria use oxygen from sulfate as an alternative to molecular oxygen in respiration.

There are three forms of sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S, HS<sup>-</sup> and S<sup>2-</sup>), and they exist in a pH- and temperature-dependent equilibrium. The effect of pH on the distribution of the three forms at 25 degrees-C is shown in Fig. 1. As pH increases, the proportion of hydrogen sulfide declines, and that of HS<sup>-</sup> rises until the two forms have roughly equal proportions at pH 7. At greater pH, HS- is the dominant form, and there is no S<sup>2-</sup> until the pH is above 11.



Fig. 1: Effects of pH on the relative proportions of H2S, HS-, and S2-.

Hydrogen sulfide is toxic to aquatic animals because it interferes with reoxidation of cytochrome  $\mathsf{a}_3$  in respiration. This effect is caused almost entirely by H<sub>2</sub>S, while HS- is essentially non-toxic. Even if it is toxic,  $S^2$  is not an issue, because it does not occur at pH values found in aquaculture systems.

## Hydrogen sulfide concentration

The concentration of hydrogen sulfide must be estimated from total sulfide concentration, because methods for determining sulfide in water typically measure the total concentration of the three sulfide forms.

The proportions of H<sub>2</sub>S at different pH values and temperatures provided in Table 1 can be used for estimating hydrogen sulfide concentration. To illustrate, suppose the pH is 7.5 at 26 degrees-C in freshwater with a sulfide concentration of 0.5 mg/L. The factor for these conditions is 0.238. Multiplying the factor by the sulfide concentration of 0.5 mg/L gives an  $H_2S$  concentration of 0.119 mg/L. In seawater of the same temperature and pH, the concentration would be less by a factor of 0.9.

## Boyd, Factors for estimating hydrogen sulfide concentration, Table 1





Table 1. Factors for estimating hydrogen sulfide concentration from measured concentrations of total sulfide. For seawater, multiply the factors by 0.9.

## Sulfide in sediment

Hydrogen sulfide formation in sediment is mainly the result of sulfate reduction by microorganisms. Sulfate reduction occurs at a lower oxidation-reduction (redox) potential than is necessary for the reduction of iron and manganese by microorganisms. Thus, ferrous iron and manganous manganese usually are present in zones where hydrogen sulfide is produced.

Iron, manganese and other metals quickly react with hydrogen sulfide to form highly insoluble metallic sulfides that precipitate. This process usually lessens the hydrogen sulfide concentration in sediment, but over 100 mg/L of hydrogen sulfide has been reported in some sediments.

Hydrogen sulfide in sediment can enter overlying water by diffusion. It also can be mixed into the water column by biological activity and sediment disturbances by seine hauls and strong water currents caused by wind or mechanical aeration. If the rate at which hydrogen sulfide enters the water exceeds the rate of its oxidation, there will be a detectable concentration of this potential toxin in the water column – especially in the layer a few centimeters above the sediment-water interface.

## **Toxicity**

The 96-hour lethal concentration 50 (LC50) values for hydrogen sulfide to freshwater fish species range 20-50 μg/L, and much lower concentrations stress fish and make them more susceptible to disease. A measure of toxicity, LC50 reflects the concentration of a compound in water that killed 50 percent of the test animals in a specified period of time, e.g., 96-hour LC50.

Ideally, freshwater fish should not be exposed to more than 2 μg/L of hydrogen sulfide for long periods. Shrimp and other marine species tend to be more tolerant of hydrogen sulfide than freshwater species are.

Values for 96-hour LC50s of hydrogen sulfide to marine species range 50-500 μg/L. Nevertheless, hydrogen sulfide concentration probably should not exceed 5 µg/L in aquaculture ponds with brackish water of full-strength seawater. As with freshwater fish, elevated concentrations of hydrogen sulfide increase the susceptibility of marine organisms to disease – especially Vibriosis in the case of shrimp.

Studies in laboratory soil-water systems conducted at Texas A&M University suggested that high sulfide concentrations in sediment pore water did not affect shrimp, provided the soil-water interface remained aerobic, and the dissolved-oxygen concentrations in the water column were at 70 percent saturation or greater. Studies also have shown that the risk of hydrogen sulfide toxicity increases with lower sediment and water pH.

#### Measurement

Total sulfide concentration measurement is a complex task by standard laboratory methods, but aguaculturists can use hydrogen sulfide kits for easier total sulfide analyses. The kits provide relatively reliable data.

Of course, estimation of hydrogen sulfide concentration from total sulfide concentration requires data on water temperature and pH (Table 1). The presence of hydrogen sulfide often can be detected by its extremely strong, rotten egg odor. Measurable hydrogen sulfide in water usually means a low dissolvedoxygen concentration in the water or at the sediment-water interface, and aeration should be increased.

### Management

As mentioned above, aerator-induced water currents can disturb sediment, favoring the mixing of hydrogen sulfide into the water, but the positive benefits of oxygenation by aeration far outweigh this effect. Nevertheless, aerators should be installed in a manner that minimizes the disturbance of sediment.

The main practices for lessening the risk of hydrogen sulfide toxicity are conservative feeding to avoid wasted feed on pond bottoms, plenty of aeration to prevent low dissolved-oxygen levels and provide a flow of oxygenated water across the soil-water interface, and liming to prevent acidic sediment and water. Between crops, pond bottoms should be thoroughly dried. Sediment should be removed from ponds where it is too deep to dry thoroughly, and acidic pond bottoms should be limed.

Some products are sometimes applied to ponds because they can potentially alleviate hydrogen sulfide problems. These include potassium permanganate application to water at a concentration six to eight times the hydrogen sulfide concentration  $-$  permanganate can oxidize sulfide. Iron compounds such as ferrous oxide have been applied to sediment at rates of 1 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or more to encourage precipitation of hydrogen sulfide in sediment pore water as iron sulfide. Sodium nitrate added to the water column can help maintain oxygenated conditions at the soil-water interface and lessen the opportunity of hydrogen sulfide diffusion into the water.

Probiotics often are added to ponds with the belief that they lessen the risk of hydrogen sulfide toxicity. Sulfur-oxidizing bacteria already are present in ponds, and it is doubtful that probiotic treatments are effective for removing hydrogen sulfide. Zeolite is sometimes claimed to absorb hydrogen sulfide, but the treatment rate necessary for this to be effective would be far too great to be affordable.

(Editor's Note: This article was originally published in the March/April 2014 print edition of the Global Aquaculture Advocate.)

#### **Author**



**CLAUDE E. BOYD, PH.D.**

Department of Fisheries and Allied Aquacultures Auburn University Auburn, Alabama 36849 USA

boydce1@auburn.edu [\(mailto:boydce1@auburn.edu\)](mailto:boydce1@auburn.edu)

Copyright © 2023 Global Seafood Alliance

All rights reserved.