



ALLIANCE™

[.https://debug.globalseafood.org](https://debug.globalseafood.org)Health &  
Welfare

# Off-flavors in channel catfish managed with low-dose applications of copper sulfate

1 February 2006

By Terrill R. Hanson, Ph.D. and Kevin K. Schrader, Ph.D.

## Weekly low-dose applications appear to be beneficial

In the competitive cultured seafood world, “off-flavor” fish can result in consumer dissatisfaction and reduced consumption of a particular seafood product. In the United States farm-raised catfish industry, off-flavor management is taken very seriously because of the need to maintain a high-quality, excellent-tasting product for consumers and corresponding economic implications for processing and production.

For producers, off-flavors can delay farm-raised catfish harvests and result in additional feed costs, interruption of cash flow, and potential catfish loss from disease, possible water quality deterioration, and bird predation during the extended holding period. Additionally, uncertainty about the supply of “on-flavor” catfish during the summer and autumn months when most off-flavor episodes occur can keep processing plants from operating at optimal levels.

U.S. catfish producers’ economic losses from off-flavor have been estimated as high as U.S. \$47 million annually. In 2001, the main author estimated the cost of off-flavor harvest delays at \$8.85 per hectare per day. Various studies have estimated the additional costs of production due to such delays can



The bright aquamarine area by the near edge of this pond resulted from a recent copper sulfate treatment. The efficient distribution of such treatments can be problematic in large catfish ponds.

range \$0.01 to 0.25 per kilogram catfish produced. Considering the typical U.S. catfish production cost is approximately \$1.43 per kilogram, the additional costs caused by off-flavor could reflect 3-17 percent of total production costs.

## Copper sulfate management

The off-flavors of the greatest concern to the catfish industry are those characterized as “musty” or “earthy,” which are produced by certain species of cyanobacteria that grow in catfish ponds. One management approach for controlling musty off-flavor in pond-raised catfish is the application of

copper sulfate to reduce the abundance of the cyanobacterium (*Oscillatoria perornata*). The musty odor is due to the production of 2-methylisoborneol (MIB) by *O. perornata*.

The main disadvantage of copper sulfate treatment is that the amount of free copper can be affected by water quality variables. Large-scale treatments can become ineffective or increase copper toxicity to levels that kill or stress the catfish or nontargeted green algae. An alternative approach using low doses of copper sulfate applied weekly was tested to evaluate its control of off-flavor cyanobacteria.

## U.S. studies

In experiments at the National Warmwater Aquaculture Center in Stoneville, Mississippi, USA, low doses of copper sulfate had a positive effect on catfish flavor quality and provided increased net returns to producers. A second study was conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of weekly copper sulfate applications on the prevalence of off-flavor in catfish on commercial farms.

The large size of commercial ponds can make rapid and thorough dispersal of copper sulfate difficult and thus hinder the effectiveness of the treatment. Rapid dispersal is necessary so that copper contacts and is taken up by the *O. perornata* cells. With slow dispersal, the copper sulfate can rapidly disappear from the water column and end up in the pond sediments, bound and unavailable for use as an algaecide.

While the first study (Tucker et al., 2001) was a controlled experiment using 0.4-hectare ponds, the more recent study was conducted in 3- to 6-hectare ponds on two catfish farms in western Mississippi. Farm managers applied 0.5 mg copper sulfate pentahydrate per liter weekly beginning in the late spring and continued until the water temperature dropped below 20 degrees-C.

Water samples were collected from treated and untreated ponds approximately every three weeks and monitored for levels of MIB, chlorophyll alpha, and phytoplankton community structure and abundance. In addition, catfish from each study pond were checked for off-flavor during the third year of the study.

## Results

Levels of MIB and the abundance of *O. perornata* were significantly reduced in the treated ponds at one farm, while the numbers of green algae and diatoms, the preferred type of phytoplankton in catfish ponds, increased significantly at both farms. The overall prevalence of all types of off-flavor was reduced by 50 percent. Thus, weekly low-dose applications of copper sulfate appeared to be beneficial in mitigating musty off-flavor problems in commercially produced catfish.

The cost of applying crystalline copper sulfate during the mid-July through mid-September period was estimated at U.S. \$7.09 per application per hectare. Based on the collected farm data, the number of estimated off-flavor delay days for each pond treatment became the basis for quantifying additional operating costs during the extended harvest delay periods.

Fish sampling results were used to hypothetically determine if fish in a particular pond could be harvested. A "counting" methodology was developed in which the count of off-flavor days began with the detection of off-flavor and lasted until the next off-flavor testing occurred. Resulting harvest delays were summed over three sampling dates and used in estimating periodic and overall costs.

Off-flavor harvest delays totaled 427 days for the 12 untreated ponds and 238 days for 12 treated ponds, but were not statistically different (Table 1) due to the large variation among ponds and the small sample size. There was one significant difference between the number of off-flavor days in the

treated ponds between the second and third sampling dates. Overall, the estimated costs incurred during the off-flavor harvest delay periods were estimated as U.S. \$17,252 for the untreated ponds and \$11,381 for the treated ponds (Table 2).

**Table 1. Estimated off-flavor days preventing harvests in treated and untreated catfish ponds**

Treatment	Pond Area (ha)	Off-Flavor Days <sup>y</sup>			Total
		July 16-August 27 (42 days)	August 28-September 18 (22 days)	After September 18 (varies)	
Control (12 ponds, no treatment)					
Total	57.8 <sub>a</sub>	252	148	27	427
Average days/pond		21.0 <sub>a</sub>	12.3 <sub>a</sub>	2.3 <sub>a</sub>	36 <sub>a</sub>
Average days/ha		4.4	2.6	0.5	7.4
Treated (12 ponds, treated with copper sulfate)					
Total	50.9 <sub>a</sub>	168	62	8	238
Average days/pond		14.0 <sub>a</sub>	5.2 <sub>a</sub>	0.7 <sub>b</sub>	20.0 <sub>a</sub>
Average days/ha		3.3	1.2	0.2	4.7

**Table 2. Estimated cost of off-flavor days preventing harvests in treated and untreated catfish ponds (U.S. \$).**

Treatment	Pond Area (ha)	Cost of Off-Flavor Days			Total
		July 16-August 27 (42 days)	August 28-September 18 (22 days)	After September 18 (varies)	
Control (12 ponds, no treatment)					
Total	57.8 <sub>a</sub>	\$9,763	\$6,287	\$1,202	\$17,252
Average cost/pond		\$814 <sub>a</sub>	\$524 <sub>a</sub>	\$100 <sub>a</sub>	\$1,438 <sub>a</sub>
Average cost/ha		\$163	\$109	\$21	\$299
Treated (12 ponds, treated with copper sulfate)					
Total	50.9 <sub>a</sub>	\$8,311	\$2,799	\$272	\$11,381
Average cost/pond		\$693 <sub>a</sub>	\$233 <sub>a</sub>	\$23 <sub>b</sub>	\$948 <sub>a</sub>
Average cost/ha		\$163	\$55	\$52	\$224 <sup>x</sup>

*Note: Cited references are available from the first author.*

*(Editor's Note: This article was originally published in the February 2006 print edition of the Global Aquaculture Advocate.)*

## Authors



**TERRILL R. HANSON, PH.D.**

Department of Agricultural Economics  
Mississippi State University  
Mississippi State, Mississippi 39762 USA

[hanson@agecon.msstate.edu](mailto:hanson@agecon.msstate.edu) (mailto:hanson@agecon.msstate.edu)



**KEVIN K. SCHRADER, PH.D.**

USDA – Agricultural Research Service  
Natural Products Utilization Research Unit  
National Center for Natural Products Research  
University, Mississippi, USA

Copyright © 2023 Global Seafood Alliance

All rights reserved.