



ALLIANCE™

(<https://debug.globalseafood.org>).



Intelligence

Pacific oysters, abalone undergo sensory evaluation

1 November 2010

By Nick Elliott, Conor Delahunty, Ciarán Forde, Maeva Cochet and Peter Kube

Results demonstrate ability to differentiate among regions, farms and growing conditions



Sensory evaluation is a scientific approach to measurement of how food products stimulate our senses.

Sensory evaluation is a scientific approach to the measurement and analysis of how food and beverage products stimulate our senses. It is used routinely to guide food product research and development, production, manufacture and handling, and quality control.

Information on the sensory properties of food and beverages can be related to differences in their chemical and physical compositions. The sensory differences also can be related to differences in consumer preference, offering insight on what drives product acceptance.

Seafood evaluation

A pilot study on the sensory properties of Pacific oysters and abalone was conducted at the CSIRO Sensory Laboratory in Sydney, Australia, by a team of scientists from CSIRO Food and Nutritional Sciences. It found differences among the sensory properties of samples produced in different growing conditions and regions.

The pilot study began by establishing protocols for the preparation and tasting of the oysters and abalone, as well as a sensory evaluation vocabulary for odor, texture, flavor and aftertaste for each product. Once consensus was reached, the samples underwent an objective sensory assessment by a panel of 10 trained assessors, whose role was to quantitatively rate the perceptual differences among the samples.

The preparation protocols set guidelines for thawing, shucking, washing, steaming for abalone and plating. The tasting protocols ensured panel members applied a consistent approach to sniffing, biting and chewing the food samples during the evaluation.



Differences related to region and growing conditions could be identified in the tests.

To find meaningful perceptual differences, the samples were sourced from three regions of Australia. They were not meant to represent a robust regional comparison. The samples of Pacific oysters were sourced from farms in New South Wales, Tasmania and South Australia. The abalone came from farms in South Australia, Tasmania and Victoria, and wild harvest in Tasmania.

Differences perceived

The evaluation results demonstrated the ability of sensory testing to differentiate among regions, farms and growing conditions for both oysters and abalone.

All 12 of the attributes measured in oysters showed significant differences related to growing regions (Fig. 1). In addition, the abalone samples differed according to growing and harvesting conditions. The larger, wild-harvested abalone were perceived as having greater chewiness, firmness and springiness than farmed abalone, and containing higher levels of earthy and metallic flavors.




Fig. 1: Perceived intensity differences of key sensory attributes among Pacific oysters from three farms.

Farming systems and diets also influenced the sensory properties of farmed abalone samples, as shown in Figure 2. For example, abalone from one farm was called significantly more savory and salty. The panel was also able to significantly differentiate abalone of the same cohort but on different diets at one farm.




Fig. 2: Perceived intensity differences of key sensory attributes among abalone on different feeds at farms and from wild harvest.

The differences in sensory attributes of the oysters and abalone did not reflect consumer preference or acceptance, but rather a quantifiable and repeatable distinction in sensory attributes reflecting biological and environmental differences.

Quantifying quality

Laboratory-based biochemical and physical analyses of the Pacific oyster and abalone samples were compared with the sensory data. Batch differences in the content of moisture, glycogen and specific taste-active free amino acids were found, and it is likely these contributed to the measured sensory differences.

Additionally, analyses of samples using near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy demonstrated the products could be discriminated by spectral difference related to compositional and physical properties. This latter technique is being developed further in parallel studies to provide accurate and rapid predictions of moisture, glycogen, protein and fat content in oysters that provide a valuable supporting tool for ongoing sensory studies.

Sensory/physical links

Future research aims to provide better linkage among the sensory data and the biochemical and physical data, so the information can be related back to growing, harvesting or processing conditions. This could potentially confirm anecdotal beliefs about product quality and the potential changes experienced during growout and through to market.

Sensory evaluation can also be used in association with consumer preference testing to understand the needs of different markets and guide product quality and development.

The research now needs industry direction from growers, harvesters and processors. Ultimately it will lead to the identification of quality indices that can be applied to improve product quality.

(Editor's Note: This article was originally published in the November/December 2010 print edition of the Global Aquaculture Advocate.)

Authors



NICK ELLIOTT

CSIRO Food Futures Flagship
CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research
GPO Box 1538, Hobart
Tasmania 7001 Australia

nick.elliott@csiro.au (<mailto:nick.elliott@csiro.au>).



CONOR DELAHUNTY

CSIRO Food Futures Flagship
CSIRO Food and Nutritional Sciences
North Ryde, New South Wales, Australia



CIARÁN FORDE

CSIRO Food Futures Flagship
CSIRO Food and Nutritional Sciences
North Ryde, New South Wales, Australia



MAEVA COCHET

CSIRO Food Futures Flagship
CSIRO Food and Nutritional Sciences
North Ryde, New South Wales, Australia



PETER KUBE

CSIRO Food Futures Flagship
CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research
Hobart, Tasmania, Australia

Copyright © 2023 Global Seafood Alliance

All rights reserved.