





Probiotics found ineffective against Vibrio harveyi in limited-exchange shrimp pond survey

1 September 2007 By Susmita Patnaik , Tzachi M. Samocha, Ph.D. and Marilyn B. Kilgen

Study assesses three commercial probiotic mixtures of *Bacillus*



Probiotics were applied to the culture water throughout the study in lined ponds.

Increasingly strict effluent regulations have encouraged many shrimp producers to adopt limited-or zero- water-exchange practices. However, the prevention of water quality deterioration and bacterial disease outbreaks is of paramount concern in operating these systems. *Vibrio* outbreaks have often resulted in poor shrimp growth and survival in such systems.

As the use of antibiotics has severe limitations, shrimp producers have started using probiotics as a potential tool to minimize crop losses to bacterial disease outbreaks. Although manufacturers claim their probiotics improve water quality and prevent *Vibrio* outbreaks, only limited hard data is available to support the claims.

The authors recently conducted a study to evaluate the use of three commercial probiotic mixtures of *Bacillus* in preventing *Vibrio harveyi* outbreaks and to assess their effects on water quality and shrimp performance in limited-discharge outdoor ponds stocked with Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*).

Study setup

The 128-day study was conducted at the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station Shrimp Mariculture Research Facility in Corpus Christi, Texas, USA. Before stocking with *L. vannamei* juveniles of about 0.74 grams, the 2,200-cubic-meter membrane-lined ponds were filled with 10-ppm chlorinated seawater. Two ponds were stocked at a density of 51 shrimp per cubic meter, and two were stocked at 84 shrimp per cubic meter.

One pond of each density received the probiotics. Although one manufacturer specified top coating of its probiotic, due to state regulations, all three products were applied to the water a week before stocking and continued until harvest. The dosage and application frequency of all three probiotics were based on shrimp behavior and the manufacturers' recommendations.



Vibrio harveyi colonies at low magnification.

Shrimp were fed 3 times per day with a 35 percent-

crude protein commercial diet. Rations were adjusted weekly assuming a fixed feed-conversion ratio of 1.4 with 1.2 grams per week growth and 1 percent mortality per week. The low-density (L.D.) ponds were each equipped with four aspirator aerators at 36 hp per ha, while each high-density (H.D.) pond had four paddlewheel and two aspirator aerators for 54 hp per ha aeration.

A range of water quality variables were monitored weekly. Shrimp and water from all four ponds were tested monthly for pathogenic *Vibrios.* Except for emergency releases due to heavy rains, no water was discharged from the ponds. Municipal water was added to compensate for evaporation and maintain salinity.

Results

Other than the 5.48 milligrams per liter mean daily morning dissolved oxygen value in the low-density treated pond, which was significantly higher than the L.D. untreated pond (5.05 milligrams per liter), no other significant differences were found between treatments or ponds in daily and weekly water quality indicators. The mean and standard deviation values of the weekly water quality indicators in the ponds are summarized in Table 1.

Patnaik, Weekly water quality indicators, Table 1

Weekly Indicators	Low- Density Treated	Low- Density Control	High- Density Treated	High- Density Control
Total ammonia nitrogen (mg/l)	0.94 ± 1.91	2.13 ± 3.42	2.14 ± 3.03	0.71 ± 1.10
Nitrite (mg/l)	0.85 ± 2.10	1.62 ± 3.70	4.69 ± 6.29	4.84 ± 5.50
Nitrate (mg/l)	1.10 ± 0.75	0.75 ± 1.25	4.90 ± 11.20	6.10 ± 11.30
Reactive phosphorus (mg/l)	7.80 ± 9.50	9.20 ± 9.90	5.60 ± 7.90	7.20 ± 8.70
Five-day carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (mg/l)	30.2 ± 18.0	24.7 ± 14.7	30.90 ± 15.40	29.40 ± 8.40
Total suspended solids (mg/l)	239.8 ± 206.5	189.80 ± 176.80	263.90 ± 206.40	314.40 ± 197.80
Volatile suspended solids (mg/l)	171.7 ± 188.9	129.60 ± 118.40	190.20 ± 146.20	225.50 ± 144.30
Settleable solids (ml/l)	3.70 ± 4.90	6.70 ± 9.30	6.30 ± 5.70	11.40 ± 11.20
Turbidity (ntu)	145.20 ± 78.10	103.70 ± 67.80	142.70 ± 56.60	146.30 ± 53.70
Algae (cells/ml x 10 ⁴)	95.15 ± 214.80	13.93 ± 15.50	23.40 ± 23.30	69.50 ± 224.20
Secchi readings (cm)	14.20 ± 5.50	14.00 ± 5.40	12.70 ± 3.40	12.50 ± 2.00

Table 1. Weekly water quality indicators (mean \pm standard deviation) in the 128-day study. No significant differences were found among treatments.

Shrimp biomass, feed conversion, final weight, and survival are summarized in Table 2. Shrimp mortalities were observed as early as 37 days from the study initiation in the H.D. ponds. The 61.5 percent shrimp survival in the L.D. control pond was higher than the 44.8 percent rate in the L.D. probiotic-treated pond. Shrimp survival rates in both H.D. ponds were below 39.0 percent.

Patnaik, Summary of shrimp production, Table 2

Treatment	Biomass (kg/m3)	Feed-Conversion Rate	Final Weight (g)	Survival (%)
Low-density, treated	0.45	2.83	20.7 ± 3.5^{a}	44.8
Low-density, control	0.58	2.04	18.6 ± 2.8 ^b	61.5

High-density, treated	0.56	3.17	17.9 ± 3.2 ^b	38.9
High-density, control	0.60	3.02	18.7 ± 3.5 ^b	38.7

Table 2. Summary of shrimp production. Same superscript letters within a column indicate no significant difference.

The yields of the L.D. treated and untreated ponds were 0.45 and 0.58 kilograms per cubic meter compared to the 0.56 and 0.60 kilograms per cubic meter of the H.D. treated and untreated ponds, respectively. The 20.7-gram final shrimp weight in the treated L.D. pond was significantly higher than in the other ponds. However, the feed-conversion ratio for the treated L.D. pond was higher than for the L.D. control pond.

Vibrio populations

The total aerobic plate counts for water and shrimp samples from all four ponds were typically high. The *Escherichia coli* and fecal coliforms were negative in all four pond water samples. Visual observations of the dead shrimp collected weekly from the ponds showed the presence of luminescence bacteria only in one case.

Isolates from samples of the intake water and shrimp prior to stocking showed the presence of *V. alginolyticus, V. harveyi/carchariae*, and *V. campbelli*. Water from all four ponds and some shrimp contained *V. parahaemolyticus. V. alginolyticus* was isolated from the L.D. treated pond.

Both *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. alginolyticus* can cause vibriosis in shrimp with the latter also a human pathogen. Agar plating of water samples from all four ponds showed large numbers of *V. harveyi.* The isolates from the moribund and dead shrimp tissue also contained *V. harveyi.*

(Editor's Note: This article was originally published in the September/October 2007 print edition of the Global Aquaculture Advocate.)

Authors



SUSMITA PATNAIK

Texas Agricultural Experiment Station Shrimp Mariculture Research Facility Corpus Christi, Texas 78418 USA



5/31/2023 Probiotics found ineffective against Vibrio harveyi in limited-exchange shrimp pond survey - Responsible Seafood Adv...

Texas Agricultural Experiment Station Shrimp Mariculture Research Facility Corpus Christi, Texas 78418 USA

tsamocha@ag.tamu.edu (mailto:tsamocha@ag.tamu.edu)



MARILYN B. KILGEN

Department of Biological Sciences Nicholls State University Thibodaux, Louisiana, USA

Copyright © 2023 Global Seafood Alliance

All rights reserved.