




ALLIANCE™

(<https://debug.globalseafood.org>).



Health &
Welfare

Zero-exchange shrimp production: Success in WSSV-infected Panama

1 December 2000

By Ing. Manuel Grillo F , Durwood M. Dugger and Darryl E. Jory, Ph.D.

Critical measures include intensive systems, disease-free seedstock, pathogen-free water and strong biosecurity



Aerators generate strong water movement in production ponds at the test facility.

The shrimp farming industry in Panama, which relies mostly on semi-intensive technology that is highly vulnerable to several shrimp pathogens, has been severely affected by WSSV since March 1999. A different approach using a zero-exchange, intensive system was designed, built, and operated for one cycle to determine the feasibility of producing shrimp commercially in areas of Panama where WSSV is widespread. The final design of this project relied significantly on earlier work on zero-exchange systems at the Waddell Mariculture Center (South Carolina, USA), Belize Aquaculture, Ltd., and on preliminary work carried out at the Camaco farm in Panama.

Farm description



The comprehensive biosecurity plan included a plastic barrier to keep crabs – potential pathogen carriers – out of the production area.

The farm is located on the Azuero Peninsula about three hours from Panama City. Construction of the first phase, carried out during early 2000, included support facilities (warehouse, laboratory, and office), a pump station and screen filtration system, three 1-hectare reservoirs connected in series, a 3-ha sedimentation pond, and 20 production ponds with a depth of 1.2 to 1.4 meters and area of 4,000 square meters each. The farm draws water from a tidal creek about 1 km from its mouth to the ocean. Each pond is equipped with four, 2-hp paddle wheel aerators (20 horsepower per hectare).

Pond preparation and stocking

Pond preparation procedures included the application of five metric tons (MT) of calcium carbonate per pond (equivalent to 12.5 MT per hectare). Water was filtered at the entrance to the first reservoir through 1,000-micron mesh, through 500 micron between reservoirs, and between the last reservoir and the distribution canal.

Ponds were filled through two 15-cm PVC pipes fitted with 250-micron filter bags on the canal side and 100-micron filter bags on the inside of the pond. It took two days to fill up a pond, and about two weeks to have all ponds at the operational depth.

Five days after pond filling, the insecticide Neguvon (1 ppm) was applied to the pond water. After 10 days, a postlarvae survival test was carried out with water sampled from ponds to assure there was no residual effect. Inorganic fertilization was carried out with urea (30 kilograms per hectare) and TSP (5 kilograms per hectare).

Seedstock

Postlarvae produced from specific pathogen free broodstock and reared at the Camaco Laboratory were used to stock the ponds. Broodstock originated from Shrimp Improvement Systems (Florida, USA) and had been certified free of WSSV and IHNN. PL13-17 were directly stocked after a short, pond-side acclimation. Three stocking densities were used (50, 60 and 80 PL per square meter).

Pond management during cycle

Aeration

One of the main objectives was to maintain minimum DO levels at no less than 5 milligrams per liter for the duration of the cycle. During the first two weeks after stocking, no aeration was needed to maintain acceptable DO levels. Between days 16 and 30, only two aerators were operated for 8 hours during the night. Between days 31 and 60, all four aerators were needed, running for 12 h during night. Between days 61 and 75, the aerators operated for 18 hours (4 p.m. to 10 a.m.). After day 75, they ran around the clock.

Feeding

A local feed (25 percent protein) was used during the entire cycle, and manually distributed from the pond levees. During the first month after stocking, feeding rates were based on a table, and applied twice per day. Starting around week 5, daily rations were adjusted using two feed trays per pond. Three feed applications were used between days 30 and 70, and increased to five applications thereafter.

Results

Survival rates obtained were excellent when compared to typical rates for the rest of the country, averaging 80 percent and ranging from 52 to 95 percent (Table 1) after an average of 120 days (range 112 to 129 days). Growth rates averaged 0.70 grams per week (range 0.61 to 0.82 grams per week). The mean production for the 20 ponds was 4,941 kilograms per hectare (range 3,515 to 7,555 kilograms per hectare), and a total of 39,530 kg of shrimp were harvested in this first cycle of operation (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1: Production (kg/ha heads-on) by pond after first cycle of operation of farm (June to October 2000).

Grillo, Summary of production results, Table 1

Parameter	Value
Cycle Duration (Days)	112-127 (118)
Survival Rates (%)	58-91 (74)
kg/Pond	1,406-3,022 (2,014)
kg/ha	3,515-7,555 (4,941)
Mean Weight at Harvest (g)	11.1-14.0 (12.6)
Growth (g/Week)	0.68-0.82 (0.74)
FCR	1.6-2.1 (2.0)

Table 1. Summary of production results (average values in parentheses).

Production reflected the stocking densities, with ponds stocked at 50 PL per m² averaging 4,736 kilograms per hectare. At 60 PL per m², the ponds averaged 6,028 kilograms per hectare, and at 80 PL per m² averaged 7,555 kilograms per hectare. Fig. 2 compares shrimp production (headson) per hectare and feed conversion rates (FCR) at different stocking densities.

Fig. 2: Mean shrimp production (kg/ha heads-on) and feed conversion rate (FCR) at different stocking densities.

The main objectives of this first production cycle were accomplished, namely to maximize biosecurity by excluding pathogens and their vectors (particularly WSSV), and to maintain pond conditions as optimal as possible. Although the FCR values can undoubtedly be improved, no diseases were observed and the economic results were very positive. The production costs were U.S. \$2.49 per kilogram at 80 PL per square meter, \$3.10 per kilogram at 60 PL per square meter, and \$3.96 per kilogram at 50 PL per square meter.

Conclusion

The results obtained demonstrated it is possible to grow shrimp commercially in areas of Panama where WSSV is endemic, using biosecure, intensive systems with zero exchange of pond water. The critical elements of this system – as reported by others before – are the use of healthy, disease-free seedstock; water free of pathogens and other biosecurity measures; and no exchange of water during the cycle.

(Editor's Note: This article was originally published in the December 2000 print edition of the Global Aquaculture Advocate.)

Feeding was carefully monitored using feed trays.

Authors



ING. MANUEL GRILLO F

Esperanza Grillo de Vega
Acuícola María Paula, S.A., Panamá

[fgrillo5@yahoo.com \(mailto:fgrillo5@yahoo.com\)](mailto:fgrillo5@yahoo.com)



DURWOOD M. DUGGER

BioCepts International, Inc.
Ft. Pierce, Florida, USA
www.biocepts.com

[duggerdm@earthlink.net \(mailto:duggerdm@earthlink.net\)](mailto:duggerdm@earthlink.net)



DARRYL E. JORY, PH.D.

Editor
Global Aquaculture Advocate
St. Louis, Missouri USA

[dejry2525@aol.com \(mailto:dejry2525@aol.com\)](mailto:dejry2525@aol.com)

Copyright © 2023 Global Seafood Alliance

All rights reserved.